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Palliation of dyspnoea in advanced COPD: revisiting a role for opioids

G Rocker,1,2 R Horton,2 D Currow,3 D Goodridge,4 J Young,5 S Booth6

ABSTRACT

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) will be the third leading cause of death worldwide by 2020.1 In recent years there has been a welcome increase in the focus on the care of advanced COPD in the pages of both specialist palliative care journals2,3 and in the respiratory literature.4–6 This long overdue change reflects the reality that COPD is unique among the major diseases in western society in that its prevalence, morbidity and attributable mortality continue to rise,7 that for patients who have advanced COPD the symptom burden is substantial,8,9 that dyspnoea as the predominant symptom is often poorly controlled and ultimately incapacitating,10 and that palliative care services in hospital or at home and high quality symptom-focused interventional strategies in our current models of care are less accessible than they are for people with cancer.9,10 While palliative care in general is responding to calls to extend traditional boundaries to encompass patients with advanced non-malignant medical illness, we need innovative and effective approaches to symptom control in advanced stages of COPD if we are to lessen the increasing burdens that advanced COPD places on the lives of patients and caregivers alike.11

The purpose of this narrative review is to (re)consider the role of opioids in the management of the patient who lives with advanced COPD and struggles with dyspnoea refractory to conventional treatment. We also set out to describe barriers to the provision of opioid therapy.

The information for this narrative review was compiled from previous researches of MEDLINE, CINAHL and EMBASE since 31 December 2006 and a recent review through PUBMED to February 2009. Dyspnoea has been a research interest for the last 15 years for the senior authors, and articles from personal archives, the unpublished literature, work in progress from colleagues, text books, the searching of recent journals and references from papers have also been incorporated. Where possible, original studies are cited but, to limit references to those cited, recent reviews (including several systematic reviews) have been included. Specific details of the search are available on request from the corresponding author.

DYSPNOEA: THE PREDOMINANT SYMPTOM IN COPD

Dyspnoea is the subjective experience—usually unpleasant—of discomfort with breathing. As a symptom, dyspnoea pervades all aspects of patients’ lives, variously described by patients who have COPD as hard work, exhausting, painful, a constant struggle or a continuous fight. For those who live with advancing COPD, dyspnoea is a significant source of disability and profoundly affects quality of life to the extent that patients become isolated and describe themselves as existing rather than living. Dyspnoea is the symptom least well palliated by traditional approaches10 and, despite a range of potential approaches, only 50% of patients with advanced COPD benefit from some degree of palliation10 and many live (and die) with incapacitating breathlessness. Not surprisingly, perhaps, relief of symptoms (eg, dyspnoea) was a top priority for improvements in care identified by 118 hospitalised patients with COPD in a recent Canadian multicentre study.12

MECHANISMS OF DYSPNOEA: THE BASIS FOR CONVENTIONAL AND INNOVATIVE APPROACHES

The current knowledge of mechanisms of dyspnoea in COPD has been summarised recently in a position paper of the American Thoracic Society.13 Dyspnoea is the result of a complex interaction of signals arising from the central nervous system, upper airways, lungs and chest wall. These signals are relayed to higher brain centres where they are processed and influenced by behavioural, cognitive, contextual and environmental factors prior to the shaping of the final symptom of dyspnoea. Each individual perceives, interprets and reacts to the symptom of dyspnoea from within her/his own framework of history, experience, values and beliefs, a dynamic that can result in a broad range of symptoms affecting physical, emotional, social and/or spiritual/existential domains. Just as these
dysphoria, and response to various treatments. Within the emotional domain and using advanced neural imaging, we are beginning to understand the extent of limbic and paralimbic activity in dysphoria that supports approaches for people with advanced COPD that consider, in addition to conventional treatment of airflow obstruction and hyperinflation, ameliorating the significant contribution of anxiety, fears and other emotions. Altering the “central perception” of dysphoria may be accomplished by psychosocial and pharmacological treatments.

Conventional interventions: inhaled therapy
Traditional healthcare approaches to COPD, based on the biomedical model, have been focused on the underlying pathophysiology of disease and on treating bronchoconstriction and reducing hyperinflation and airway inflammation (a long-acting anticholinergic agent in addition to a combination of an inhaled long-acting β agonist and an inhaled corticosteroid). While helpful, these therapies delivered by a variety of inhaler devices are limited in what they can achieve. As COPD progresses, other therapies beyond the conventional pharmacological approach are needed to improve health-related quality of life.

Adjunctive approaches: pulmonary rehabilitation and oxygen therapy
Exercise training in the setting of a formal pulmonary rehabilitation programme improves symptom control (dysphoria, fatigue), exercise tolerance and health-related quality of life, although these programmes are variably available—for example, to only 2% of patients with COPD in Canada. Home-based rehabilitation programmes are also effective. By the time people with COPD are experiencing severe impairment from their breathlessness, their lives will already have changed irrevocably with significant limitations to their “life space”. Long-term oxygen therapy (LTOT) is often prescribed in the later stages of disease to improve survival for those people with COPD who are significantly hypoxaemic. However, LTOT can have a major impact on patients’ lives by changing routines, interfering with desires to be seen as healthy, by the technical focus on machines and oxygen readings, and the restrictions oxygen therapy imposes, necessitating new rhythms in life. For others it can be seen as a way to survive, endure and adapt. Thus, LTOT may improve health-related quality of life by lessening anxiety and depression, and enhance emotional function and mastery, but, for some, LTOT is unacceptably limiting and intolerable. For caregivers, the means of responding to perceived breathlessness is also important. However, the effectiveness of LTOT in palliating dysphoria remains uncertain.

In summary, COPD should be considered beyond solely being a disease. Even when seen as an illness, there is a need within the broader context of the human experience to address both symptoms and suffering more effectively.

Innovative approaches to dysphoria: the dysphoria ladder
Most clinicians are familiar with the World Health Organization analgesic ladder in the management of cancer pain. In a recent review article we proposed that a “dysphoria ladder” should be incorporated into routine assessment and treatment of patients with progressing COPD (fig 1). The first step of the ladder incorporates conventional approaches to dysphoria in COPD management. Inherent is the assumption that conventional pharmacological approaches follow recommendations of national professional societies. These approaches, if they fail to provide tolerable dysphoria, can be supplemented by non-pharmacological approaches in step 2 (recently subjected to a Cochrane review). These approaches include self-management education, exercise training, cognitive therapy, body positioning and support for carers and education that should be optimised first. The placement of opioids on step 3 underscores the role that they might have when dysphoria persists at an intolerable level despite optimal conventional and use of non-pharmacological approaches.

Opioids, dysphoria and COPD
There are several mechanisms by which opioids (both endogenous and exogenous) could influence dysphoria. Endogenous opioids might modulate dysphoria by a reduction in total ventilation, an increase in ventilatory efficiency with exercise; a reduction in responses to hypoxia and hypercapnia; a reduction in the drive to breathe and an effect on bronchoconstriction. Exercise performance-related dysphoria in COPD was recognised many years ago to be improved by exogenous opioids.

Experience with opioid use in advanced COPD is limited, even though it has been almost 20 years since morphine was first reported to be associated with a reduction in dysphoria symptoms in COPD. However, use of opioids over the long term (weeks and months or beyond) has received little attention or support, and the research has mostly focused on short-term effects over hours or days. Earlier reports also mentioned dosing regimens much higher than we have been using or seeing in clinical practice.

---

![Figure 1](https://example.com/dysphoria_ladder.png)

**Figure 1** The dysphoria ladder. Reproduced with permission from Rocker et al. 20
The use of opioids for dyspnoea has been the subject of a Cochrane review in which 11/18 studies reported only patients with COPD (range 7–19 patients). This confirmed overall beneficial effects of both oral and parenteral opioids on dyspnoea (p<0.001) and, from a meta-regression, that both were more effective than placebo,26 a conclusion supported by a subsequent report in 2004.27 By contrast, nebulised opioids did not appear to have any effect on dyspnoea. Nevertheless, we need to be clear that, of the 18 randomised controlled trials reviewed,28 14 involved single dosing of opioids. Four multiple dosing studies over a week or more involving patients with COPD used oral diamorphine,29 dihydrocodeine30, 31 or morphine32 (see table 1). Only one small study from New Zealand assessed quality of life22 and used a starting dose of sustained release morphine an order of magnitude higher than we are proposing.23

Subsequent to the 2002 systematic review,28 Abernethy and colleagues published the results of a placebo controlled crossover trial of 48 patients (mostly with COPD) in which daily use of a sustained release morphine formulation had predominantly beneficial effects.25

In this 4-day crossover study,25 using 20 mg sustained release morphine per 24 h, the effects were positive, patients reporting improvements in their refractory dyspnoea and better sleep. The effect on dyspnoea was more evident later in the day (reflecting the use of a single 20 mg dose slow release preparation and coinciding with peak serum levels late in the afternoon). Constipation was a problem for some patients. Ten of the original cohort of 48 had to withdraw from the study, only five for likely morphine-related side effects.25 Despite some adverse effects, this study was the first adequately powered randomised controlled trial that supported the use of an opioid for the symptomatic relief of dyspnoea.29 Secondary analyses of the study data failed to demonstrate a relation between severity of dyspnoea and subsequent response to opioids,33 and further dose-ranging trials or longer-term trials have yet to be reported but are in progress.

Opioids, when appropriately administered and monitored at lower doses, do not appear to cause significant respiratory depression.29 Several studies within the systematic review26 attest to the lack of adverse short-term effect on blood gases, also confirmed in a more recent study.24

### BARRIERS TO USE OF OPIOIDS IN ADVANCED COPD

#### Professional barriers

Among professional societies there is a lack of consensus on the role of opioids in the treatment of dyspnoea in COPD. Documents from the European Respiratory Society and the American Thoracic Society make no recommendation,13, 35 and the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence in the UK states that: “Opiates can be used for the palliation of breathlessness in patients with end-stage COPD unresponsive to other medical therapy”.36 An earlier position paper from the American Thoracic Society specifically counselled against the use of opioids in COPD except in terminal stages.37 The Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) makes a passing reference: “... some clinical studies suggest that

### Table 1: Multiple dosing studies of opioids for dyspnoea among patients with COPD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Opioid dose regimen (vs placebo)</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Duration of follow-up</th>
<th>Outcome measure</th>
<th>Overall effect on dyspnoea</th>
<th>Side effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eiser et al 1991</td>
<td>n = 18</td>
<td>Diamorphine 2.5 mg orally qid</td>
<td>Pre and 2 week exercise testing in study centre</td>
<td>2 weeks ×3, crossover, no washout</td>
<td>VAS for dyspnoea</td>
<td>6 min walk</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Poole et al 1999| n = 16     | Morphine SR 10–20 mg od or bid  | Pre and 6 week exercise testing in study centre | 6 weeks ×2, plus 2 week washout | CRQ for quality of life, 6 min walk | NS overall, but mastery scale favored placebo; 6 min walk test worse with morphine | Opioid withdrawal
| Johnson et al 1983 | n = 19   | Dihydrocodeine 15 mg orally 30 min pre-exercise, up to tid | Pre and 1 week pedometer testing in the home | Weekly, cross over ×3 (third week alternate day codeine) | VAS for dyspnoea | Pedometer distance | Dyspnoea reduced by18%, walk distance up 17% Similar in placebo and treated groups |
| Woodcock et al 1981 | n = 12 | Dihydrocodeine 1 mg/kg orally od vs oxyprenolol, alcohol and caffeine 45 min before exercise | Exercise testing (treadmill, in hospital) | 4 consecutive days | VAS for dyspnoea | 20% reduction in dyspnoea, 18% increase in exercise tolerance 45 min after codeine | Nausea and vomiting
| Woodcock et al 1982 | n = 16 | Dihydrocodeine 30 mg or 60 mg tid | Exercise testing at 2 weeks in study centre | 2 weeks crossover ×3 | Oxygen consumption (bicycle ergometer), 6 min walk | “A few patients reported considerable benefit”, Lower oxygen consumption (p<0.05) | 5 withdrew (narcosis and vomiting). Opioid withdrawal syndrome (2 on 60 mg dose), constipation (2/11) |
| Abernethy et al 2003 | n = 48 | Morphine SR 4-day crossover versus placebo | Clinical study in the community | 4 days | VAS for dyspnoea at day 4 | Better dyspnoea scores both mornings (p = 0.01) and evening (p<0.05) | More constipation with morphine. Other side effects not significant |
| Currow et al 2009 | n = 68 | Morphine SR 10–20–30 mg od | Open-label dose-finding long-term clinical study in the community | Mean 3 months; 18.5 patient years of data | VAS for dyspnoea | 51% of patients found sufficient benefit over long term to choose to continue | Constipation 6%, nausea 6%, confusion/drowsiness/lethargy/dizziness 12% |

bid, twice a day; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV1 forced expiratory volume in 1 s; MRC, Medical Research Council; NS, not significant; od, once daily; SR, sustained release; tid, three times a day; VAS, visual analogue scale.
morphine used to control dyspnoea may have serious adverse effects and its benefits may be limited to a few sensitive individuals. However, the absence of a single reported case of respiratory depression in the medical literature from low-dose regular oral opioids for dyspnoea raises questions about this assertion. Moreover, in a longitudinal study in Australia of 65 patients with refractory dyspnoea (predominantly due to COPD) where a slow release morphine preparation was prescribed in doses ranging from 10 mg to 30 mg daily, there were no cases of respiratory depression. In addition, 51% of patients reported benefit sufficient for them to remain on long-term opioids. Meanwhile, both the Australian and Canadian guidelines on COPD include qualified recommendations for considering opioids for severe dyspnoea, as do the American College of Chest Physicians and the American Thoracic Society in policy statements on palliative and end-of-life care.

For physicians, barriers to opioid use to relieve dyspnoea in COPD include discomfort and inexperience with potential side effects of sedation (uncommon if opioids are introduced at low regular doses and titrated carefully over days or weeks) and respiratory depression (not reported in the clinical literature where low-dose regular oral opioids are titrated to effect), lack of knowledge and experience regarding opioid prescription, pharmacokinetics, titration and appropriate monitoring of clinical response and side effects. Nevertheless, others have suggested that opioids should be considered, not only in end-of-life situations, but also for stable patients with COPD whenever breathlessness is severe and continues despite maximal bronchodilator therapy. The potential for use of opioids in this setting demands further study. Only quality evidence showing a clear benefit to risk ratio is likely to alter the prevailing mindset.

**Patient and family barriers**

Fears of using or of implications of using a narcotic or a controlled substance or having them in the house often underpins initial reluctance to consider opioids (and our collective feedback/personal experience), yet when this reluctance is overcome, the reduction of fear that morphine induces comes through as a consistent and positive theme. Case reports attest that, for some, the improvements in quality of life have been profound.

**OUR APPROACH TO USING OPIOIDS**

Opioid therapy is one of several potential approaches to the management of severe dyspnoea that includes both conventional pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions that should be optimised first. Nevertheless, given that a high proportion of patients with advanced COPD experience severe dyspnoea on minimal exertion despite other conventional interventions, people struggling with dyspnoea related to COPD should not be denied an appropriately initiated and monitored trial of opioids, balancing benefits and any adverse effects as in any other clinical encounter. Of note, unlike almost all other medications in the pharmacocopoeia, opioids can be titrated effectively and safely over a wide range of doses to therapeutic effect.

A low-dose slow approach is important for several reasons, but gaining patients’ and families’ confidence is key. Gaining the confidence of the “opioid-naïve physician” unused to prescribing opioids in this situation is also important. Patients with COPD, in contrast to patients with cancer, have lived with breathlessness for years and may continue to live with it for prolonged periods. If confidence with opioids is lost through a “forced pace” regimen, patients will have lost an important and rare therapeutic intervention for dyspnoea. Interventions aim to minimise non-compliance due to opioid-related side effects and an acceptance that it may take time to see a therapeutic effect. However, it is important to anticipate and manage patient and caregiver expectations carefully with any cautious dose titration schedule so that they also do not look for an immediate and substantial effect. In advanced COPD, opioids should be titrated to achieve tolerable levels of dyspnoea according to the patients who take them. Unless contraindicated, in the UK and Canada, patients are usually started on oral immediate release morphine sulfate. The approach is slow initiation over the first week, with dose titration taking place at weekly intervals over 4 weeks. One recommended dose schedule suggests a start up dose of morphine sulfate of 1 mg daily (can be 0.5 mg twice daily) and, if tolerated, then 1.0 mg twice daily in week 2 increasing by 1.0 mg per week until the lowest effective dose is found to treat dyspnoea. In Canada we might start at a similar dose but move up to 1.0–2.5 mg every 4 h by the end of week 1. Patients are most likely to be dyspnoeic during waking hours when they are most active, so a dosing interval of 4-hourly while awake should ensure adequate levels of opioid during that time period while optimising the likelihood of compliance. If troublesome dyspnoea remains, a dose increase of 25% each week seems reasonable over 3–4 weeks. Once a stable dose is achieved (ie, there is no need for change over 2 week), colleagues in Canada will often substitute a twice daily sustained release preparation at a comparable daily dose. If patients experience persistent intolerable opioid-related side effects (possibly nausea or confusion), a reasonable clinical decision would be to consider other opioids (eg, rotation to an equipotent dose of oral hydromorphone using a conversion ratio of 1 mg hydromorphone = 5 mg morphine). Oxycodone may be used as an alternative opioid where there is geographical variation in the availability of hydromorphone although, to date, blinded studies have focused on morphine.

We provide patients and their caregivers and family practitioners with a simple guide to opioid titration and follow closely with telephone contact in the first weeks of therapy. There are tools available to monitor the presence and severity of common opioid-induced side effects that can be adapted from their use in the setting of pain relief in cancer. We provide advice concerning regular use of stool softener and stimulant laxative to prevent opioid-induced constipation.

**A RESEARCH AGENDA**

There are several questions to which we require answers from appropriately designed studies. Dose-finding studies and, ultimately, a sufficiently powered randomised controlled trial of longer term opioid therapy will provide definitive answers. However, randomised controlled trials of complex symptomatology common to palliative phases of illness are notoriously difficult to conduct and are not necessarily the gold standard in this setting. Other designs that incorporate qualitative elements designed to understand experiences from patient and caregiver perspectives would also seem appropriate. For the individual patient an n = 1 study can be justified (for oral or nebulised opioids), and trial designs that include variations on the n = 1 approach may well advance the field. These various approaches should help us to understand the following:

- What is the optimal initiation dose, dosing interval, titration schedule and delivery route?
- Does prescribing in COPD differ from patients with comparable severity of dyspnoea from other illnesses?
To what degree are beneficial effects or adverse effects of opioids sustained over time?

Does the amplitude of any therapeutic effect correlate with severity of dyspnoea (ie, are opioids more likely to be of benefit in patients with severe dyspnoea at rest as opposed to those with dyspnoea limited to exertion, or are they more effective at relieving dyspnoea that is acute—for example, during an acute exacerbation—rather than chronic)?

How does compliance with opioid therapy change over time and what are the factors that influence compliance (eg, side effects, patient or caregiver fears or misconceptions about addiction and dependence or fears about opioids hastening death)?

Are some opioids more efficacious or tolerated better than others?

Are patients with advanced COPD truly at a higher risk of opioid-induced complications than other patients with severe dyspnoea?

Are conventional concerns with using appropriately dosed and monitored opioids in patients with mild degrees of hypercapnia justified?

Another important component of the research agenda will be to determine whether we can predict opioid responsiveness. The current evidence base suggests we cannot. However, it has been our experience that certain patients with COPD with dyspnoea respond more favourably to treatment with opioids than others, and those with lower levels of function may derive more benefit. The challenge is to distinguish those who are likely to benefit from treatment from those who are not, or from those who may even be harmed. The concept of variability in degree of opioid responsiveness in treating pain is widely recognised. Factors that influence the variable response of pain to opioids may also apply to dyspnoea. In our experience, dyspnoea that is acute, unpredictable in onset, strongly associated with negative emotions (fear, anxiety, panic) and a sense of loss of control by reduction in activity is most likely to respond favourably to opioids. Dyspnoea that is chronic, predictable in onset, in which the degree of physical perception is greater than the negative affective components and which the patient feels able to control or abort by pacing or reducing activity is less likely to respond favourably. These concepts lend themselves to measurement and to the development of tools to further refine the potential for opioid responsiveness.

While we await answers that will only arise within the context of carefully performed clinical studies, can we justify denying patients with advanced COPD an approach to palliation of a symptom that is so often and so profoundly difficult to manage effectively within conventional pulmonary medicine practice?

CONCLUSION

As patients with advanced COPD approach the end of their disease trajectory, many suffer from unremitting dyspnoea despite optimal conventional pharmacological and non-pharmacological therapies. We should not underestimate the profound psychosocial impacts that dyspnoea has on the quality of life of both patients and their families. Patients and families living with advancing COPD need an approach to care where we as clinicians understand contemporaneously both the chronic illness and palliative care needs of this population. To deny our patients carefully conducted trials of effective palliation while waiting for definitive proof of effect would be to turn our backs on one of the basic goals of medicine. If we ignore the needs for effective palliation for our patients with advanced COPD then, in the words of Professor Partridge in a recent Thorax editorial, we will “fail them from beginning to end”.
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Esomeprazole does not improve asthma control

Current American asthma guidelines recommend assessing patients with poorly controlled asthma for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD) and treating silent GORD. However, these recommendations were based on studies on patients with GORD symptoms. This study is the first of its kind to evaluate whether the addition of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) in silent GORD does not play a role in worsening asthma symptoms and may improve the symptoms of asthma.

Four hundred and twelve patients with poorly controlled asthma receiving inhaled corticosteroids with no GORD symptoms or a history of GORD with minimal symptoms and not on antireflux medication were randomised to receive either 40 mg esomeprazole twice daily or placebo for 24 weeks while continuing their asthma medications. Similar proportions of patients in each group had silent GORD. The primary outcome measure was the rate of episodes of poor asthma control. Secondary outcome measures included spirometry, bronchodilator response, nocturnal awakening, quality of life and asthma symptoms (assessed through questionnaires). Ambulatory pH monitoring was used to assess oesophageal reflux.

Fifty percent of participants had silent GORD. Both primary and secondary outcome measures were similar between the two groups. No significant differences in asthma-related symptoms were found between patients with documented reflux and those without. Subgroup analyses did not identify any patients whose asthma symptoms benefited from PPIs.

It is concluded that silent GORD does not play a role in worsening asthma symptoms and may be more important in other diseases. The addition of esomeprazole to traditional asthma treatment therefore does not appear to be of benefit.
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